

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/525,963	Applicant(s) KAGOHASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Ngoclan T. Mai	Art Unit 1742	

All Participants:

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(2) Alan Schiavelli.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3/1/07, 4/24/07

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,863,708.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to amend the claims to clarify that the method is for treating metallic nickel powder, not producing it. Applicant also agrees to file a terminal disclaimer to obviate a potential double patenting rejection over US 6,863,708.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ROY KING
 SUPERVISOR
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 1700

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)